

# Psychometric properties of the Social Behavior Questionnaire (SBQ) in a longitudinal population-based sample

Ophelie A. Collet, Massimiliano Orri, Richard E. Tremblay, Michel Boivin, Sylvana M. Cote

# ▶ To cite this version:

Ophelie A. Collet, Massimiliano Orri, Richard E. Tremblay, Michel Boivin, Sylvana M. Cote. Psychometric properties of the Social Behavior Questionnaire (SBQ) in a longitudinal population-based sample. International Journal of Behavioral Development, 2022, 10.1177/01650254221113472. hal-03830246

# HAL Id: hal-03830246 https://hal.science/hal-03830246v1

Submitted on 26 Oct 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





Check for updates



# Psychometric properties of the Social Behavior Questionnaire (SBQ) in a longitudinal population-based sample

International Journal of Behavioral Development I–10

© The Author(s) 2022



Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/01650254221113472 journals.sagepub.com/home/jbd



Ophélie A. Collet 1 D, Massimiliano Orri<sup>2,3</sup>, Richard E. Tremblay 4, Michel Boivin 5 and Sylvana M. Côté 1

#### **Abstract**

We assessed the psychometric properties of the Social Behavior Questionnaire (SBQ), a 30-item questionnaire evaluating social (e.g., disruptive behaviors, bullying) and emotional problems (e.g., anxiety, depression) among children aged 3.5-12 years. Children (n=1,950,50.21% boys) were drawn from the Quebec Longitudinal Study of Child Development. Mothers reported the frequency with which children presented social and emotional behaviors from 3.5 to 8 years of age, and teachers from 6 to 12 years. We assessed internal structure using Confirmatory Factor Analysis, reliability using Cronbach's alpha, and convergent and discriminant validity using a multitrait-multimethod (MTMM) approach. The six-factor (emotional distress, withdrawal, impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive, disruptive behaviors, prosocial behaviors, and peer relationships difficulties) structure of the SBQ showed good fit from ages 3.5 to 12 years. Reliability estimates were good to excellent (alphas > .7), and MTMM showed good convergent and discriminant validity. Overall, the SBQ presented good psychometric properties with a large population-based sample aged 3.5-12 years. Further studies should assess its screening potential by investigating its convergent validity with diagnostic information.

## **Keywords**

Social behavior, psychometrics, population-based sample, longitudinal, questionnaire

Recent estimates suggest that the prevalence of social (e.g., disruptive behaviors, bullying) and emotional problems (e.g., anxiety, depression) among elementary school aged children is about 10% (Panchal et al., 2021). Social and emotional problems in childhood may interfere with children's well-being in the family and school contexts. As such, they may have a lasting impact on children's social functioning (Galera et al., 2021; Kieling et al., 2011; Orri et al., 2018) and academic achievement (Agnafors et al., 2021). Valid, reliable, and brief assessment of these behavior problems in home and school contexts is important to identify children who may benefit from preventive interventions.

Two parent and teacher-reported questionnaires have been frequently used to assess children's behavior problems. The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) is a measure of mental health symptoms for children from age 2 to 17 years (Goodman, 1997). It includes 25 items and has a five-factor structure: emotional problems, hyperactivity-inattention, conduct, prosocial, peer relationship. A review of studies showed Cronbach's alphas from.30 (peer problems subscale) and .85 (hyperactivity/inattention subscale) for parent's assessment and .35 (peer problems subscale) to .89 (hyperactivity/inattention subscale) for teacher's assessment (Stone et al., 2010). The Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL; Achenbach, 1991) is used with children aged 1.5–18 years. It includes 113 items divided into eight scales: anxious/depressed, thought problems,

withdrawn/depressed, attention problems, aggressive behavior, rule-breaking behavior, social problems, somatic complaints. The Cronbach's alpha for the CBCL range from 0.72 to 0.97 (Achenbach & Rescorla, 2001; Kristensen et al., 2010; Nakamura et al., 2009).

The Social Behavior Questionnaire (SBQ) was originally developed to assess children's emotional and behavioral problems (Tremblay et al., 1994). It was used in several longitudinal studies over the past 30 years (Coté et al., 2002; Côté, Vaillancourt, et al., 2007; Orri et al., 2021; Rouquette et al., 2014). The items were drawn and partly adapted from the Rutter Questionnaires (Rutter, 1967), the CBCL (Achenbach, 1991), the Ontario Child Health Study Scales (Offord et al., 1989), and the Preschool

# Corresponding author:

Sylvana M. Côté, Centre de Recherche du CHU Sainte-Justine, Ecole de Santé publique de l'Université de Montréal, 3175 Chemin de Côte-Sainte-Catherine, Montréal, QC H3T 1C5, Canada. Email: sylvana.cote.1@umontreal.ca

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ecole de Santé publique de l'Université de Montréal, Canada

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> McGill University, Canada

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> University of Bordeaux, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> University of Montreal, Canada

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Laval University, Canada

Behavior Questionnaire (Behar, 1977). The questionnaire was used in several cohort studies to obtain parents' and teachers' assessments of children's social and emotional problems (Côté et al., 2009; Côté, Boivin, et al., 2007; Côté, Vaillancourt, et al., 2007; Eisner & Ribeaud, 2007). Yet, the psychometric properties of the SBQ have not been formally assessed.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the psychometric properties of parent and teacher SBQ assessments with a cohort of Canadian children aged 3.5–12 years. Following the guidelines proposed by Boateng et al. (2018), we evaluated the SBQ's internal structure using Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA), reliability using Cronbach's alpha, and convergent and discriminant validity using a MTMM approach.

#### Method

The purpose of the SBQ questionnaire is to assess children's social and emotional behaviors within the home and the school environment. Thus, the SBQ ranks children according to the severity of the assessed behavior (measured as latent variable with multiple indicators) as evaluated by teachers and parents, separately. The following section describes the item selection and analysis conducted to evaluate the psychometric properties of the SBQ within raters.

# Data and Sample

Data were drawn from the Québec Longitudinal Study of Child Development (QLSCD), a representative cohort of 2,120 infants born in Québec, Canada, between October 1997 and July 1998 (Orri et al., 2021). Participants were selected from the Québec Birth Registry based on living area and birth rate. Inclusion criteria were single pregnancy, pregnancy that has lasted 24-42 weeks of amenorrhea, and maternal literacy in French or English. The QLSCD protocol was approved by the Institut de la Statistique du Québec (ISQ) and the Sainte Justine Hospital Research Center ethics committees on 10 March 1998 and 10 January 2004, respectively. Ethics approval and written informed consent were obtained from the person most knowledgeable about the child at each data collection wave. Data were collected, in either English or French, by trained interviewers from the person most knowledgeable about the child (mother in >98% of the cases) at child ages 3.5, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, and 12 years. Teachers also rated the children's social and emotional behavior at 6, 7, 8, 10, and 12 years of age. At 3.5 years, the QLSCD sample consisted of 1,950 children, of whom 50.21% were boys (Table 1).

#### Measurements

From the initial pool of items used between 3.5 and 12 years of age, we selected 30 items based on the following criteria: (1) best construct validity according to expert opinion and (2) highest loadings on the original factor (Table 2). For the former, we have conducted several meetings with experts in child development, developmental psychopathology, and psychometrics, including some of the co-authors of this study (CO, MO, MB, and SMC) to select the most informative items. Items were reported by the person most knowledgeable about the child and by the teacher. Items were answered on a three-point Likert-type scale ("never or not true," "sometimes or somewhat true," "often or very true")

**Table 1.** Socio-Demographic Characteristics of the Sample at Baseline (3.5 Years), QLSCD<sup>a</sup> Cohort (*n* = 1,950).

|  | Sample         |
|--|----------------|
| Children                                 |                |
| Child sex, boys, n (%)                   | 979 (50.21%)   |
| Family                                   |                |
| Maternal age at child birth, mean (SD)   | 29.35 (5.20)   |
| Paternal age at child birth, mean (SD)   | 32.18 (5.57)   |
| Maternal educational attainment, $n$ (%) |                |
| Post-secondary diploma or less           | 1,608 (82.46%) |
| University diploma                       | 340 (17.44%)   |
| Paternal educational attainment, $n$ (%) |                |
| Post-secondary diploma or less           | 1,433 (73.49%) |
| University diploma                       | 365 (18.72%)   |

Note. SD: standard deviation. Among the participants, 2 (0.10%) presented missing data on maternal education and 152 (7.79%) on paternal education. 

<sup>a</sup>Data were compiled from the final master file of the Québec Longitudinal Study of Child Development (1998–2005), 

<sup>©</sup>Gouvernement du Québec, Institut de la statistique du Québec.

referring to the past 12 months. The positively phrased items were reverse-coded. At each data point, the subscales scores were obtained calculating the mean of the items. The SBQ is currently available in both French and English (Supplemental Appendices 1 and 2 for the French and English version, respectively).

# **Data Screening**

We checked the presence of outliers in items scoring. Furthermore, for each data collection wave, we calculated the total number of missing items responses and excluded participants presenting missing data on all (i.e., 30-item missing responses, except for the maternal-reported SBQ at 8 years: 26 missing item responses).

# Statistical Analysis

Internal Structure. To examine the internal structure of the SBQ, we carried out first-order CFA, using the robust weighted least squares means and variance adjusted estimator (WLSMV) accounting for the ordinal nature of the items' response scale. The full information maximum likelihood (FIML) method was used to account for missing data. We applied CFA with the following a-priori defined factors: (1) emotional distress, (2) withdrawal, (3) impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive, (4) disruptive behaviors, (5) prosocial behavior, and (6) peer relationships difficulties. We evaluated models fit using the Comparative Fit Index (CFI) and Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) (good if  $\geq 0.95$ , acceptable if  $\geq 0.90$ ), the Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA) (acceptable if  $\leq 0.05$ ), and the Standardized Root Mean Square Residual (SRMR; acceptable if ≤0.08; Tabachnick & Fidell, 2012). The RMSEA has been recognized as the best indices when evaluating models using the WLSMV estimator (Yu & Muthén, 2002). We also reported the relative chi-square (i.e., the ratio chi-square/DF, acceptable if ratio ≤5; Schumacker & Lomax, 2004). Finally, factor loadings were interpreted as poor if < 0.32, fair if > 0.4, good if >0.5, very good if >0.6, and excellent if >0.7 (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2012).

Table 2. Internal Consistency<sup>a</sup> of the SBQ Scales and Subscales, QLSCD<sup>b</sup> Cohort.

|                                      | Maternal reported      |                      |                      |                      | Teacher reported     |                    |                      |                      |                     |                       |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                                      | 3.5 years<br>n = 1,950 | 4 years<br>n = 1,942 | 5 years<br>n = 1,759 | 6 years<br>n = 1,492 | 8 years<br>n = 1,466 | 6 years<br>n = 966 | 7 years<br>n = 1,311 | 8 years<br>n = 1,288 | 10 years<br>n = 991 | 12 years<br>n = 1,008 |
| a. Emotional distress                | 0.73                   | 0.74                 | 0.77                 | 0.80                 | 0.80                 | 0.87               | 0.86                 | 0.86                 | 0.89                | 0.88                  |
| b. Withdrawal                        | 0.79                   | 0.77                 | 0.79                 | 0.77                 | 0.67                 | 0.84               | 0.80                 | 0.78                 | 0.82                | 0.81                  |
| c. Impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive | 0.84                   | 0.86                 | 0.87                 | 0.87                 | 0.89                 | 0.93               | 0.94                 | 0.93                 | 0.93                | 0.93                  |
| d. Disruptive behaviors              | 0.79                   | 0.79                 | 0.83                 | 0.83                 | 0.84                 | 0.94               | 0.93                 | 0.93                 | 0.94                | 0.94                  |
| e. Prosocial behaviors               | 0.86                   | 0.87                 | 0.90                 | 0.89                 | 0.88                 | 0.91               | 0.92                 | 0.84                 | 0.87                | 0.86                  |
| f. Peer relationship difficulties    | 0.68                   | 0.73                 | 0.77                 | 0.78                 | _                    | 0.81               | 0.82                 | 0.86                 | 0.88                | 0.90                  |
| N items                              | 30                     | 30                   | 30                   | 30                   | 26                   | 30                 | 30                   | 30                   | 30                  | 30                    |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Cronbach's Alpha.

Reliability. Internal consistency was estimated for each subscale using a Cronbach's alpha adapted for Likert-type item response (Gadermann et al., 2019; Zumbo et al., 2007). Internal consistency values below 0.70 are considered "unsatisfactory," between 0.71 and 0.80 "good," between 0.81 and 0.90 "very good," and above 0.91 "excellent" (Cicchetti, 1994; Cohen, 1977). Internal consistency was also investigated using Omega coefficients. We further estimated inter-rater reliability between maternal and teacher-reported assessments at ages 6 and 8 years using intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC; Shrout & Fleiss, 1979). As the SBQ is not a diagnostic questionnaire but is rather aimed to be used in cohorts following children, we estimated consistency ICC. ICC values below 0.5 are considered as "poor," between 0.51 and 0.75 "moderate," between 0.76 and 0.90 "good," and above 0.91 "excellent" (Koo & Li, 2016).

The aim of this study was to evaluate the psychometric properties of parent and teacher SBQ assessments with a cohort of Canadian children aged 3.5–12 years.

Sex Differences and Intercorrelations. Subscales means were normalized and rescaled to be expressed on a scale from 0 to 10, with higher score indicating higher frequency of these behaviors, using the following transformation:

$$\frac{max_{new} - min_{new}}{max_{old} - min_{old}} \times (v - max_{old}) + max_{new}$$

with  $\max_{old}$  = maximum of the non-transformed subscale score of the sample

 $max_{new} = 10$ 

 $\min_{old}$  = minimum of the non-transformed subscale score of the sample

 $min_{new} = 0$ 

v=non-transformed subscale score of the participant

We described the distribution of each subscale's scores using mean and standard deviation and stratified by sex. To estimate sex differences, we calculated Hedge's effect size (interpreted as: very small, <0.20; medium, 0.21–0.50; large, 0.51–0.80; and very large, 0.81–1.20; Cohen, 1988) and used Student's *t*-tests. Correlations between SBQ subscales for each sex were estimated using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient, accounting for their non-normal distribution.

Convergent and Discriminant Validity. To assess internal convergent and discriminant validity, we used an MTMM approach (Campbell & Fiske, 1959). We estimated item-total score correlations for each subscale. It corresponds to the mean correlation between the items of a given subscale with the total score of the same subscale (e.g., the correlation between the items of the "withdrawal" subscale with the total score of the "withdrawal" subscale). We also estimated inter-items correlations for each subscale, that is the mean correlation between the item of a given subscale (e.g., the correlation among the items of the "withdrawal" subscale) along with the mean correlation between the items of a subscale with the items of another subscale (e.g., the correlation between the items of the "withdrawal" subscale with the items of the "disruptive behaviors" subscale). We expected items belonging to the same subscale to have higher correlations (i.e., convergent correlation) than items belonging to different subscale (i.e., discriminant correlation).

Furthermore, for the assessments at age 6 and 8 in which both mother- and teacher-reports were available, we also conducted a MTMM analysis in a CFA framework.

Statistical analyses were performed using *R* (version 3.5.1; R Core Team, 2014) and Mplus (version 7.4) (Muthén & Muthén, 1998).

#### Results

# **Data Screening**

No outlier was found. In addition, the exclusion of participants presenting missing data on all items per wave led to the inclusion of n=1,950 participants at age 3.5, n=1,942 at 4 years, n=1,759 participants at 5 years, n=1,492 at 6 years, and n=1,466 at 8 years, for maternal-reported SBQ. For teacher-reported SBQ, it led to the inclusion of n=966 participants at age 6 years, n=1,311 participants at age 7 years, n=1,288 at age 8 years, n=991 at age 10 years, and n=1,008 participants at age 12 years.

## Internal Structure

The proposed six factors structure was supported for both the maternal- and the teacher-reported versions when using CFA. Models investigating the maternal-reported version of the SBQ from 3.5 to 8 years presented a good fit as showed by the RMSEA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Data were compiled from the final master file of the Québec Longitudinal Study of Child Development (1998–2005), <sup>©</sup>Gouvernement du Québec, Institut de la statistique du Québec.

 $\textbf{Table 3.} \ \ \textbf{Internal Consistency}^{a} \ \ \textbf{of the SBQ Scales and Subscales, QLSCD}^{b} \ \ \textbf{Cohort.}$ 

|                                      | Maternal reported      |                      |                      |                      |                      |                    | Teacher reported     |                      |                     |                       |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                                      | 3.5 years<br>n = 1,950 | 4 years<br>n = 1,942 | 5 years<br>n = 1,759 | 6 years<br>n = 1,492 | 8 years<br>n = 1,466 | 6 years<br>n = 966 | 7 years<br>n = 1,311 | 8 years<br>n = 1,288 | 10 years<br>n = 991 | 12 years<br>n = 1,008 |
| a. Emotional distress                | 0.64                   | 0.65                 | 0.69                 | 0.72                 | 0.73                 | 0.83               | 0.83                 | 0.82                 | 0.85                | 0.85                  |
| b. Withdrawal                        | 0.82                   | 0.78                 | 0.80                 | 0.78                 | 0.65                 | 0.87               | 0.82                 | 0.80                 | 0.85                | 0.82                  |
| c. Impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive | 0.86                   | 0.85                 | 0.88                 | 0.88                 | 0.89                 | 0.93               | 0.93                 | 0.94                 | 0.93                | 0.93                  |
| d. Disruptive behaviors              | 0.78                   | 0.78                 | 0.81                 | 18.0                 | 0.82                 | 0.92               | 0.92                 | 0.91                 | 0.91                | 0.90                  |
| e. Prosocial behaviors               | 0.79                   | 0.79                 | 0.82                 | 0.81                 | 0.80                 | 0.85               | 0.86                 | 0.80                 | 0.81                | 0.81                  |
| f. Peer relationship difficulties    | 0.52                   | 0.58                 | 0.65                 | 0.68                 |                      | 0.62               | 0.69                 | 0.73                 | 0.79                | 0.81                  |
| N items                              | 30                     | 30                   | 30                   | 30                   | 26                   | 30                 | 30                   | 30                   | 30                  | 30                    |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Omega coefficient.

values (0.062–0.065, with 90% confidence intervals [CI] comprised between 0.060 and 0.067) and the CFI values (0.856–0.908). All standardized factors loadings ranged from 0.414 ("Sought the company of other children?" at 6 years) to 0.931 ("Helped other children [friends, brother or sister] who were feeling sick?" at 5 years; Supplemental Table 2). Similarly, models investigating the teacher-reported version of the SBQ presented good fit: RMSEA values comprised between 0.075 and 0.081 (with 90% CI comprised between 0.072 and 0.084) and CFI values comprised between 0.916 and 0.924. Standardized factors loadings ranged from 0.393 ("Sought the company of other children?" at 10 years) to 0.946 ("Hit, bit, or kicked other children" at 12 years; Supplemental Table 3).

# Reliability

For the maternal-reported form of the SBQ from 3.5 to 8 years, Cronbach's alphas were estimated as "very good" (between 0.80 and 0.90) for "impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive," "disruptive behaviors," and "prosocial behaviors" subscales (Table 2). The three subscales "emotional distress," "withdrawal," and "peer relationship difficulties" had Cronbach's alphas evaluated as "good" (between 0.70 and 0.80). For the teacher-reported form of the SBO (i.e., from 6 to 12 years), the Cronbach's alphas were estimated as "excellent" (above 0.90) for two subscales: "impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive" and "disruptive behaviors." The four subscales "emotional distress," "withdrawal," "prosocial behaviors," and "peer relationship difficulties" had "very good" Cronbach's alphas. Omega coefficients are reported in Table 3, and ranged from 0.52 (for the maternal-reported "peer relationship difficulties" subscale at 3.5 years) to 0.94 (for teacherreported "impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive" subscale at 8 years).

Inter-rater agreement at ages 6 and 8 years was poor, with intra-class correlation coefficient ranging from 0.08 ("prosocial behaviors" at 8 years) to 0.45 ("impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive" at 12 years; Supplemental Table 4).

# Sex Differences and Intercorrelations

For both the maternal-reported and the teacher-reported SBQ, boys had higher scores than girls on the impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive, disruptive behaviors, lack of prosocial behaviors, and peer relationship difficulties scales (effect sizes comprised

between 0.11 and 0.76; Table 4). We found positive correlations between emotional distress, withdrawal, and peer relationship difficulties subscales, for boys and girls and for both maternal and teacher-reported SBQ (Supplemental Table 1). Similarly, positive correlations were found between impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive and disruptive behaviors, for both sexes and both reporters.

# Convergent and Discriminant Validity

Convergent and discriminant validity are presented in Table 5 and Supplemental Figure 1 and showed good differentiation between subscales. Overall, for the maternal-reported SBQ, correlations between items from each subscale and their total score (i.e., item-total score correlations) ranged from 0.26 (for the "peer relationship difficulties" subscale at 3.5 years) to 0.66 ("prosocial behaviors" at 5 years). The "impulsive/hyperactive/ inattentive" subscale correlated positively with the "impulsive/ hyperactive/inattentive" (0.52-0.59) and with the score of the "disruptive behaviors" subscales (0.31-0.36). For the teacherreported SBQ, item-total score correlations ranged from 0.38 ("withdrawal" at 8 years) to 0.72 ("impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive" at 7 years and "prosocial behaviors" at 7 years). Items belonging to the "peer relationship difficulties" subscale correlated with the score of the "peer relationship difficulties" (0.44-0.65) and with the score of the "disruptive behaviors" (0.30–0.34) subscales. Finally, items belonging to the "withdrawal" subscale correlated with the score of "withdrawal" (0.38-0.51) and with the score of the "emotional distress" subscales (0.26–0.39).

Results for the MTMM analyses conducted using a CFA approach showed a good model fit for both age 6 (RMSEA value=0.050, with 90% CI comprised between 0.048 and 0.051) and age 8 (RMSEA value=0.052, with 90% CI comprised between 0.051 and 0.54). More details about factor correlations indicating convergent and discriminant validity are provided in Supplemental Table 5.

## **Discussion**

This study investigated the psychometric properties of a brief version of the Social Behavior Questionnaire among a population-based sample of children aged 3.5–12 years. The brief version contains 30 items for children aged 3.5–12 years assessing six dimensions: emotional distress, withdrawal, impulsive/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Data were compiled from the final master file of the Québec Longitudinal Study of Child Development (1998–2005), <sup>©</sup>Gouvernement du Québec, Institut de la statistique du Québec.

Table 4. Descriptive Statistics and Sex Differences of the SBQ Subscales per Measurement Waves, QLSCD Cohort.<sup>a</sup>

|  | N items | Total sample <i>M</i> (SD) | Boys<br>M (SD) | Girls<br>M (SD) | Effect size |
|--|---------|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------|
| I. Maternal-reported SBQ from 3.5 to 8 | years   |                            |                |                 |             |
| 3.5 years                              |         |                            |                |                 |             |
| N (%)                                  |         | 1,950 (100%)               | 979 (50.21%)   | 971 (49.79%)    |             |
| a. Emotional distress                  | 5       | 2.45 (1.99)                | 2.48 (1.96)    | 2.41 (2.01)     | -0.04       |
| b. Withdrawal                          | 6       | 3.39 (2.05)                | 3.31 (2.05)    | 3.46 (2.05)     | 0.07        |
| c. Impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive   | 6       | 3.94 (2.05)                | 4.23 (2.09)    | 3.65 (1.96)     | -0.29***    |
| d. Disruptive behaviors                | 7       | 3.30 (1.76)                | 3.42 (1.81)    | 3.17 (1.70)     | -0.14**     |
| e. Prosocial behaviors                 | 3       | 4.08 (2.76)                | 4.42 (2.80)    | 3.74 (2.68)     | -0.25***    |
| f. Peer relationship difficulties      | 3       | 1.28 (1.37)                | 1.38 (1.43)    | 1.18 (1.31)     | -0.14**     |
| 4 years                                |         |                            |                |                 |             |
| N (%)                                  |         | 1,942 (100%)               | 976 (50.26%)   | 966 (49.74%)    |             |
| a. Emotional distress                  | 5       | 2.04 (1.72)                | 2.07 (1.74)    | 2.01 (1.70)     | -0.03       |
| b. Withdrawal                          | 6       | 3.09 (1.93)                | 3.05 (1.97)    | 3.12 (1.88)     | 0.04        |
| c. Impulsive/hyperactive/ inattentive  | 6       | 3.62 (2.12)                | 3.91 (2.09)    | 3.33 (2.11)     | -0.28***    |
| d. Disruptive behaviors                | 7       | 3.11 (1.72)                | 3.22 (1.76)    | 2.99 (1.66)     | -0.13**     |
| e. Prosocial behaviors                 | 3       | 3.95 (2.72)                | 4.31 (2.79)    | 3.60 (2.61)     | -0.26***    |
| f. Peer relationship difficulties      | 3       | 1.66 (1.91)                | 1.73 (1.96)    | 1.59 (1.85)     | -0.07       |
| 5 years                                |         |                            |                |                 |             |
| N (%)                                  |         | 1,759 (100%)               | 875 (49.74%)   | 884 (50.26%)    |             |
| a. Emotional distress                  | 5       | 2.58 (1.83)                | 2.59 (1.83)    | 2.58 (1.83)     | -0.0 I      |
| b. Withdrawal                          | 6       | 3.05 (1.93)                | 2.99 (1.96)    | 3.11 (1.89)     | 0.06        |
| c. Impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive   | 6       | 3.68 (2.07)                | 4.00 (2.13)    | 3.38 (1.95)     | -0.30***    |
| d. Disruptive behaviors                | 7       | 3.03 (1.82)                | 3.18 (1.88)    | 2.88 (1.76)     | -0.16**     |
| e. Prosocial behaviors                 | 3       | 3.10 (2.48)                | 3.48 (2.51)    | 2.73 (2.39)     | -0.3 I***   |
| f. Peer relationship difficulties      | 3       | 1.71 (1.81)                | 1.81 (1.82)    | 1.61 (1.79)     | -0.11*      |
| 6 years                                |         |                            |                |                 |             |
| N (%)                                  |         | 1,492 (100%)               | 734 (49.20%)   | 758 (50.80%)    |             |
| a. Emotional distress                  | 5       | 2.51 (1.73)                | 2.56 (1.80)    | 2.46 (1.66)     | -0.06       |
| b. Withdrawal                          | 6       | 2.95 (1.82)                | 2.87 (1.85)    | 3.03 (1.78)     | 0.09        |
| c. Impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive   | 6       | 3.51 (2.17)                | 3.86 (2.23)    | 3.17 (2.06)     | -0.32***    |
| d. Disruptive behaviors                | 7       | 2.81 (1.78)                | 2.99 (1.82)    | 2.64 (1.72)     | -0.20***    |
| e. Prosocial behaviors                 | 3       | 3.02 (2.44)                | 3.39 (2.49)    | 2.69 (2.35)     | -0.29***    |
| f. Peer relationship difficulties      | 3       | 2.08 (1.96)                | 2.31 (1.99)    | 1.85 (1.91)     | -0.24***    |
| 8 years                                |         |                            | 70.1 (10.000)  | 740 (51.000)    |             |
| N (%)                                  | _       | 1,466 (100%)               | 704 (48.02%)   | 762 (51.98%)    |             |
| a. Emotional distress                  | 5       | 2.70 (1.76)                | 2.69 (1.74)    | 2.71 (1.78)     | 0.01        |
| b. Withdrawal                          | 5       | 3.40 (1.86)                | 3.35 (1.78)    | 3.44 (1.92)     | 0.05        |
| c. Impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive   | 6       | 3.46 (2.30)                | 3.86 (2.39)    | 3.09 (2.14)     | -0.34***    |
| d. Disruptive behaviors                | 7       | 3.07 (2.12)                | 3.37 (2.18)    | 2.79 (2.03)     | -0.28***    |
| e. Prosocial behaviors                 | 3       | 3.33 (2.42)                | 3.70 (2.40)    | 3.00 (2.38)     | -0.29***    |
| 2. Teacher-reported SBQ from 6 to 12y  | ears    |                            |                |                 |             |
| 6 years                                |         | 0// /1000/                 | 450 (44 7000)  | F14 (F3 310()   |             |
| N (%)                                  | r       | 966 (100%)                 | 452 (46.79%)   | 514 (53.21%)    | 0.15*       |
| a. Emotional distress                  | 5       | 2.09 (2.03)                | 2.25 (2.18)    | 1.96 (1.88)     | -0.15*      |
| b. Withdrawal                          | 6       | 3.00 (2.23)                | 2.97 (2.27)    | 3.03 (2.20)     | 0.03        |
| c. Impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive   | 6       | 2.63 (2.65)                | 3.45 (2.82)    | 1.91 (2.26)     | -0.61***    |
| d. Disruptive behaviors                | 7       | 1.42 (2.05)                | 1.93 (2.34)    | 0.97 (1.63)     | -0.48***    |
| e. Prosocial behaviors                 | 3       | 4.32 (2.61)                | 5.07 (2.58)    | 3.76 (2.49)     | -0.52***    |
| f. Peer relationship difficulties      | 3       | 0.94 (1.62)                | 1.16 (1.67)    | 0.75 (1.54)     | -0.26***    |

(Continued)

Table 4. (Continued)

|                                      | N items | Total sample | Boys         | Girls        | Effect size |
|--------------------------------------|---------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|
|                                      |         | M (SD)       | M (SD)       | M (SD)       |             |
| 7 years                              |         |              |              |              |             |
| N (%)                                |         | 1,311 (100%) | 622 (47.44%) | 689 (52.56%) |             |
| a. Emotional distress                | 5       | 2.48 (2.21)  | 2.64 (2.22)  | 2.33 (2.18)  | -0.14*      |
| b. Withdrawal                        | 6       | 3.22 (2.10)  | 3.27 (2.16)  | 3.18 (2.06)  | -0.04       |
| c. Impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive | 6       | 2.99 (2.81)  | 3.84 (2.93)  | 2.23 (2.47)  | -0.60***    |
| d. Disruptive behaviors              | 7       | 1.46 (2.03)  | 2.03 (2.28)  | 0.93 (1.61)  | -0.56***    |
| e. Prosocial behaviors               | 3       | 4.03 (2.83)  | 4.84 (3.01)  | 3.47 (2.55)  | -0.50***    |
| f. Peer relationship difficulties    | 3       | 1.15 (1.68)  | 1.41 (1.79)  | 0.92 (1.54)  | -0.29***    |
| 8 years                              |         |              |              |              |             |
| N (%)                                |         | 1,288 (100%) | 604 (46.89%) | 684 (53.11%) |             |
| a. Emotional distress                | 5       | 2.42 (2.13)  | 2.58 (2.21)  | 2.28 (2.04)  | -0.14*      |
| b. Withdrawal                        | 6       | 3.29 (2.18)  | 3.29 (2.10)  | 3.29 (2.24)  | -0.01       |
| c. Impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive | 6       | 2.94 (2.67)  | 3.68 (2.77)  | 2.28 (2.39)  | -0.55***    |
| d. Disruptive behaviors              | 7       | 1.48 (2.04)  | 2.05 (2.28)  | 0.98 (1.65)  | -0.54***    |
| e. Prosocial behaviors               | 3       | 3.85 (2.70)  | 4.54 (2.78)  | 3.37 (2.54)  | -0.44***    |
| f. Peer relationship difficulties    | 3       | 0.98 (1.67)  | 1.19 (1.76)  | 0.79 (1.57)  | -0.24***    |
| 10 years                             |         |              |              |              |             |
| N (%)                                |         | 991 (100%)   | 471 (47.53%) | 520 (52.47%) |             |
| a. Emotional distress                | 5       | 2.31 (2.26)  | 2.64 (2.53)  | 2.01 (1.94)  | -0.28***    |
| b. Withdrawal                        | 6       | 3.06 (2.35)  | 3.11 (2.49)  | 3.02 (2.24)  | -0.04       |
| c. Impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive | 6       | 2.66 (2.59)  | 3.51 (2.79)  | 1.89 (2.11)  | -0.66***    |
| d. Disruptive behaviors              | 7       | 1.28 (1.97)  | 1.82 (2.33)  | 0.79 (1.42)  | -0.54***    |
| e. Prosocial behaviors               | 3       | 3.86 (2.77)  | 4.86 (2.80)  | 3.16 (2.53)  | -0.64***    |
| f. Peer relationship difficulties    | 3       | 1.21 (2.01)  | 1.64 (2.30)  | 0.83 (1.63)  | -0.41***    |
| 12 years                             |         |              |              |              |             |
| N (%)                                |         | 1,008 (100%) | 483 (47.92%) | 525 (52.08%) |             |
| a. Emotional distress                | 5       | 2.30 (2.21)  | 2.50 (2.33)  | 2.12 (2.08)  | -0.17*      |
| b. Withdrawal                        | 6       | 2.50 (2.07)  | 2.59 (2.23)  | 2.43 (1.94)  | -0.08       |
| c. Impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive | 6       | 2.56 (2.52)  | 3.50 (2.72)  | 1.71 (1.98)  | -0.76***    |
| d. Disruptive behaviors              | 7       | 1.20 (1.87)  | 1.77 (2.23)  | 0.67 (1.25)  | -0.62***    |
| e. Prosocial behaviors               | 3       | 3.94 (2.86)  | 4.59 (2.87)  | 3.48 (2.77)  | -0.39***    |
| f. Peer relationship difficulties    | 3       | 1.11 (1.95)  | 1.46 (2.22)  | 0.79 (1.62)  | -0.35***    |

SD: standard deviation.

<sup>a</sup>Data were compiled from the final master file of the Québec Longitudinal Study of Child Development (1998–2005), <sup>©</sup>Gouvernement du Québec, Institut de la statistique du Québec. Subscales means were rescaled to be expressed on a scale from 0 to 10.

hyperactive/inattentive, disruptive behaviors, prosocial behaviors, and peer relationships difficulties. The included items are inspired from well-known previous scales and have been used in several Canadian studies focusing on child behavioral development (Rouquette et al., 2014; Tremblay et al., 1994). This is important, as it will avoid inconsistency in measure and contribute to improve comparability between these studies. The study provided support for the reliability, internal structure, and convergent and discriminant validity of both the parental and teacher-reported questionnaires. The SBQ can be completed within 6 min by parents or teachers, thus making its use appropriate for large epidemiological studies.

At each age, the internal structure including six factors (i.e., emotional distress, withdrawal, impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive, disruptive behaviors, prosocial behaviors, and peer relationships difficulties) were supported by CFA models, with acceptable CFI, RMSEA, and factors loadings values. Compared with the

eight syndromes structures of the CBCL (Achenbach, 1991) and the five factors of the SDQ (Goodman, 1997), the six factors structure of the SBQ provides reliable assessments with less items. The internal consistency and reliability of the subscales were satisfactory.

Cronbach's alphas were overall satisfactory for all subscales. This is important considering that Cronbach's alpha is influenced by the number of items (Nunnally & Bernstein, 1993; Streiner, 2003). For the SBQ, the lowest alphas were obtained for the peer relationship difficulties subscale, which is the subscale with the smallest number of items (i.e., 3). The Cronbach's alpha values of the SBQ subscales were slightly higher than those of the SDQ, especially for the hyperactive/inattentive and the disruptive behaviors subscales (both teacher reported; Stone et al., 2010). As for the SBQ, low Cronbach's alpha for the SDQ's peer relationships difficulties subscale have been reported in several studies (Stone et al., 2010; Theunissen et al., 2013). Similarly, the

<sup>\*</sup>p<.05. \*\*p<.01. \*\*\*p<.001.

Table 5. Multitrait-Multimethod Correlations Matrices (MTMM) of the Item-Total Scores of the SBQ Subscales, QLSCD Cohort.<sup>a</sup>

|  | a     | b                   | С                   | d      | е     | f     |
|--|-------|---------------------|---------------------|--------|-------|-------|
| I. Maternal-reported SBQ from 3.5 to 8 years.  |       |                     |                     |        |       |       |
| 3.5  years,  n = 1,950   |       |                     |                     |        |       |       |
| a. Emotional distress  | 0.36  | 0.14                | 0.20                | 0.15   | -0.01 | 0.15  |
| b. Withdrawal  | 0.15  | 0.44                | 0.01                | 0.04   | 0.09  | 0.04  |
| c. Impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive   | 0.22  | 0.01                | 0.52                | 0.31   | 0.01  | 0.14  |
| d. Disruptive behaviors  | 0.14  | 0.04                | 0.28                | 0.42   | -0.03 | 0.17  |
| e. Prosocial behaviors   | -0.02 | 0.12                | 0.01                | -0.04  | 0.62  | -0.06 |
| f. Peer relationship difficulties  | 0.17  | 0.04                | 0.13                | 0.18   | -0.04 | 0.26  |
| 4 years, n = 1,942   |       |                     |                     |        |       |       |
| a. Emotional distress  | 0.37  | 0.14                | 0.22                | 0.18   | -0.01 | 0.14  |
| b. Withdrawal  | 0.15  | 0.41                | 0.01                | -0.0 I | 0.06  | 0.03  |
| c. Impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive   | 0.25  | 0.01                | 0.54                | 0.33   | 0.01  | 0.15  |
| d. Disruptive behaviors  | 0.17  | -0.0 I              | 0.29                | 0.42   | -0.04 | 0.22  |
| e. Prosocial behaviors   | -0.02 | 0.09                | 0.01                | -0.05  | 0.63  | -0.07 |
| f. Peer relationship difficulties  | 0.16  | 0.04                | 0.15                | 0.25   | -0.05 | 0.35  |
| 5 years, n = 1,759   |       |                     |                     |        |       |       |
| a. Emotional distress  | 0.41  | 0.13                | 0.21                | 0.18   | -0.01 | 0.17  |
| b. Withdrawal  | 0.13  | 0.44                | 0.02                | 0.01   | 0.09  | 0.03  |
| c. Impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive   | 0.23  | 0.02                | 0.56                | 0.34   | 0.01  | 0.15  |
| d. Disruptive behaviors  | 0.17  | 0.01                | 0.31                | 0.47   | 0.01  | 0.21  |
| e. Prosocial behaviors   | -0.01 | 0.12                | 0.01                | -0.0 I | 0.66  | -0.04 |
| f. Peer relationship difficulties  | 0.20  | 0.03                | 0.16                | 0.25   | -0.03 | 0.43  |
| 6 years, n = 1,492   |       |                     |                     |        |       |       |
| a. Emotional distress  | 0.44  | 0.14                | 0.22                | 0.20   | 0.01  | 0.18  |
| o. Withdrawal  | 0.13  | 0.39                | 0.01                | 0.04   | 80.0  | 0.05  |
| c. Impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive   | 0.23  | 0.01                | 0.57                | 0.32   | 0.01  | 0.20  |
| d. Disruptive behaviors  | 0.19  | 0.03                | 0.28                | 0.45   | 0.04  | 0.24  |
| e. Prosocial behaviors   | -0.01 | 0.11                | 0.01                | 0.05   | 0.65  | -0.01 |
| f. Peer relationship difficulties  | 0.21  | 0.06                | 0.21                | 0.29   | -0.01 | 0.47  |
| 8 years, n = 1,466   |       |                     |                     |        |       |       |
| a. Emotional distress  | 0.46  | 0.15                | 0.27                | 0.20   | -0.04 |       |
| b. Withdrawal  | 0.14  | 0.30                | 0.04                | 0.04   | 0.08  |       |
| c. Impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive   | 0.29  | 0.04                | 0.59                | 0.36   | -0.04 |       |
| d. Disruptive behaviors  | 0.19  | 0.04                | 0.31                | 0.48   | -0.01 |       |
| e. Prosocial behaviors<br>f. Peer relationship difficulties                          | -0.05 | 0.12                | -0.04               | -0.02  | 0.64  |       |
| '  |       |                     |                     |        |       |       |
| <ol> <li>Teacher-reported SBQ from 6 to 12 years.</li> <li>years, n = 966</li> </ol> |       |                     |                     |        |       |       |
| b years, n = 966<br>a. Emotional distress  | 0.57  | 0.36                | 0.26                | 0.23   | 0.10  | 0.25  |
| b. Withdrawal  | 0.33  | 0.56<br><b>0.51</b> | 0.26                | 0.23   | 0.10  | 0.23  |
| c. Impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive   | 0.33  | 0.01                | 0.01<br><b>0.71</b> | 0.06   | 0.15  | 0.11  |
| d. Disruptive behaviors  | 0.23  | 0.06                | 0.71                | 0.47   | 0.15  | 0.36  |
| e. Prosocial behaviors   | 0.12  | 0.24                | 0.16                | 0.17   | 0.71  | 0.42  |
| f. Peer relationship difficulties  | 0.12  | 0.12                | 0.16                | 0.17   | 0.06  | 0.44  |
| ·  | 5.20  | V.12                | 0.5 1               | V. 11  | 0.00  | V17   |
| 7 years, n = 1,311   | 0.57  | 0.22                | 0.33                | 0.33   | 0.00  | 0.27  |
| a. Emotional distress  | 0.56  | 0.33                | 0.33                | 0.22   | 0.08  | 0.27  |
| o. Withdrawal  | 0.30  | 0.45                | 0.08                | -0.02  | 0.25  | 0.10  |
| c. Impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive   | 0.36  | 0.08                | 0.72                | 0.50   | 0.14  | 0.35  |
| d. Disruptive behaviors  | 0.22  | -0.03               | 0.46                | 0.66   | 0.12  | 0.37  |
| e. Prosocial behaviors   | 0.09  | 0.35                | 0.16                | 0.14   | 0.72  | 0.08  |

(Continued)

Table 5. (Continued)

|                                      | a    | Ь     | С    | d     | е     | f     |
|--------------------------------------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| 8 years, n=1,288                     |      |       |      |       |       |       |
| a. Emotional distress                | 0.55 | 0.35  | 0.35 | 0.21  | 0.07  | 0.28  |
| b. Withdrawal                        | 0.30 | 0.38  | 0.10 | 0.01  | 0.19  | 0.07  |
| c. Impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive | 0.38 | 0.11  | 0.70 | 0.45  | 0.15  | 0.31  |
| d. Disruptive behaviors              | 0.20 | 0.01  | 0.41 | 0.64  | 0.15  | 0.36  |
| e. Prosocial behaviors               | 0.08 | 0.28  | 0.16 | 0.17  | 0.67  | -0.03 |
| f. Peer relationship difficulties    | 0.29 | 0.07  | 0.30 | 0.37  | -0.03 | 0.48  |
| 10 years, n=991                      |      |       |      |       |       |       |
| a. Emotional distress                | 0.55 | 0.36  | 0.34 | 0.20  | 0.15  | 0.35  |
| b. Withdrawal                        | 0.31 | 0.43  | 0.11 | 0.09  | 0.23  | 0.17  |
| c. Impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive | 0.35 | 0.12  | 0.64 | 0.45  | 0.17  | 0.30  |
| d. Disruptive behaviors              | 0.19 | 0.10  | 0.43 | 0.66  | 0.17  | 0.35  |
| e. Prosocial behaviors               | 0.17 | 0.31  | 0.19 | 0.19  | 0.65  | 0.18  |
| f. Peer relationship difficulties    | 0.39 | 0.22  | 0.32 | 0.40  | 0.17  | 0.59  |
| 12 years, n=1,008                    |      |       |      |       |       |       |
| a. Emotional distress                | 0.60 | 0.26  | 0.28 | 0.15  | 0.02  | 0.27  |
| b. Withdrawal                        | 0.22 | 0.44  | 0.05 | -0.02 | 0.19  | 0.09  |
| c. Impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive | 0.28 | 0.04  | 0.64 | 0.46  | 0.08  | 0.32  |
| d. Disruptive behaviors              | 0.14 | -0.02 | 0.42 | 0.61  | 0.09  | 0.41  |
| e. Prosocial behaviors               | 0.02 | 0.26  | 0.10 | 0.12  | 0.64  | 0.07  |
| f. Peer relationship difficulties    | 0.30 | 0.10  | 0.34 | 0.48  | 0.06  | 0.65  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Data were compiled from the final master file of the Québec Longitudinal Study of Child Development (1998–2005), <sup>©</sup>Gouvernement du Québec, Institut de la statistique du Québec.

This correlational matrix represents Pearson's correlations between items with the total score of each subscale. Values in bold in the diagonal represent mean correlation between the items of each subscale. Values in the top right outside the diagonal represent inter-items correlations for each subscale (i.e., mean correlation of a given subscale along with the mean correlation between item of another subscale). Values in the bottom left outside the diagonal represent item-total score correlations (i.e., mean correlation between the items of a given subscale with the total score of the same subscale).

Cronbach's alpha values of the SBQ subscales were slightly higher than those of the CBCL for the emotional distress, hyperactive/inattentive, and prosocial behaviors subscales (Achenbach & Rescorla, 2001; Kristensen et al., 2010; Nakamura et al., 2009). Furthermore, inter-rater agreement at ages 6 and 8 years was considered as poor despite being similar to those of the SDQ (Fält et al., 2018; Kersten et al., 2018; Mieloo et al., 2012).

In addition to the CFA, we further used the MTMM approach to investigate the convergent and divergent validity of the SBQ. By estimating the correlations between items and total scores of all the other subscales, the MTMM approach allows investigating correlations between items in a context of comorbidity. The MTMM analyses revealed good convergent and discriminant validity, that is, item-total correlations and inter-item correlations were higher for items from the same subscale than for items from another subscale. Nevertheless, the "impulsive/hyperactive/inattentive" subscale also correlated strongly with the "disruptive behaviors" subscale.

Our supplemental analyses showed the expected sex differences in emotional and social behaviors, with boys having higher scores of social behaviors than girls. These sex differences have been observed when using other questionnaires (e.g., SDQ and CBCL; Hoffmann et al., 2020; Shojaei et al., 2009; Woerner et al., 2004).

# Strengths and Weaknesses

This study presents several strengths. First, it used data from a large population-based and longitudinal study, which permitted

the assessment of the psychometric properties of the SBQ at multiple time points across childhood. Second, we used two complementary approaches, CFA and MMTM matrix methods, to evaluate the questionnaire's internal consistency.

Some limitations should be noted when interpreting the findings. First, the SBQ is a brief questionnaire designed for conducting research in community or clinical samples, but it does not provide clinical or diagnostic assessments. Second, we did not validate the SBQ by comparing it with another tool using the same sample (e.g., SDO, CBCL). The OLSCD collected intensive information about participants. Including additional questionnaires, similar to the existing one, for the purpose of comparing their results, would have significantly increased the time and cognitive burden on participants and reduced responses rate (Edwards et al., 2002; Galesic & Bosnjak, 2009). Similarly, we did not have information on clinical diagnoses, therefore we were unable to investigate if the SBQ is a good screening instrument for common social and emotional problems diagnosed in children. Additional research is needed to explore the usefulness of the SBQ in clinical settings. Third, culture may play a role in the expression and distribution of behaviors (Office of the Surgeon General et al., 2001) and the SBQ has been validated only within a representative sample of children from the Canadian Province of Quebec. Thus, future cross-cultural comparisons and validation will need to be conducted to assess the validity of the SBQ in multiple contexts. Fourth, rater's bias might be present in the study. However, it was beyond the scope of this study to consider rater's mental and cognitive characteristics. Finally, the SBQ was designed and evaluated by the same researcher group.

Like most of other scales, the SBQ would need further independent psychometric assessments to cumulate validation evidence (Gridley et al., 2019; Pontoppidan et al., 2017).

## **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### **Funding**

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: The Québec Longitudinal Study of Child Development was supported by funding from the ministère de la Santé et des Services sociaux, le ministère de la Famille, le ministère de l'Éducation et de l'Enseignement supérieur, the Lucie and André Chagnon Foundation, the Institut de recherche Robert-Sauvé en santé et en sécurité du travail, the Research Centre of the Sainte-Justine University Hospital, the ministère du Travail, de l'Emploi et de la Solidarité sociale and the Institut de la statistique du Québec.

#### **ORCID iD**

Ophélie A. Collet https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1859-6765

#### **Supplemental Material**

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

#### References

- Achenbach, T. M. (1991). Manual for Child Behavior Checklist 4-18, 1991 Profile. Univ Vermont/Dept Psychiatry.
- Achenbach, T. M., & Rescorla, L. (2001). Manual for the ASEBA school-age forms & profiles: An integrated system of multiinformant assessment. University of Vermont, Research Center for Children, Youth, & Families.
- Agnafors, S., Barmark, M., & Sydsjö, G. (2021). Mental health and academic performance: A study on selection and causation effects from childhood to early adulthood. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 56(5), 857–866. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-020-01934-5
- Behar, L. B. (1977). The preschool behavior questionnaire. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 5(3), 265–275.
- Boateng, G. O., Neilands, T. B., Frongillo, E. A., Melgar-Quiñonez, H. R., & Young, S. L. (2018). Best practices for developing and validating scales for health, social, and behavioral research: A primer. Frontiers in Public Health, 6, Article 149. https://doi. org/10.3389/fpubh.2018.00149
- Campbell, D. T., & Fiske, D. W. (1959). Convergent and discriminant validation by the multitrait-multimethod matrix. *Psychological Bulletin*, *56*(2), 81–105. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0046016
- Cicchetti, D. V. (1994). Guidelines, criteria, and rules of thumb for evaluating normed and standardized assessment instruments in psychology. *Psychological Assessment*, 6(4), 284–290.
- Cohen, J. (1977). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. Academic Press.
- Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Coté, S., Tremblay, R. E., Nagin, D. S., Zoccolillo, M., & Vitaro, F. (2002). Childhood behavioral profiles leading to adolescent conduct disorder: Risk trajectories for boys and girls. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 41(9), 1086–1094. https://doi.org/10.1097/00004583-200209000-00009

- Côté, S. M., Boivin, M., Liu, X., Nagin, D. S., Zoccolillo, M., & Tremblay, R. E. (2009). Depression and anxiety symptoms: Onset, developmental course and risk factors during early childhood. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied Disciplines*, 50(10), 1201–1208. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2009.02099.x
- Côté, S. M., Boivin, M., Nagin, D. S., Japel, C., Xu, Q., Zoccolillo, M., Junger, M., & Tremblay, R. E. (2007). The role of maternal education and nonmaternal care services in the prevention of children's physical aggression problems. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 64(11), 1305–1312. https://doi.org/10.1001/arch.psyc.64.11.1305
- Côté, S. M., Vaillancourt, T., Barker, E. D., Nagin, D., & Tremblay, R. E. (2007). The joint development of physical and indirect aggression: Predictors of continuity and change during childhood. *Development and Psychopathology*, 19(1), 37–55. https:// doi.org/10.1017/S0954579407070034
- Edwards, P., Roberts, I., Clarke, M., DiGuiseppi, C., Pratap, S., Wentz, R., & Kwan, I. (2002). Increasing response rates to postal questionnaires: Systematic review. *BMJ (Clinical Research Ed.)*, 324(7347), Article 1183. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.324.7347.1183
- Eisner, M., & Ribeaud, D. (2007). Conducting a criminological survey in a culturally diverse context: Lessons from the Zurich Project on the social development of children. *European Journal of Criminology*, *4*(3), 271–298. https://doi.org/10.1177/1477370807077183
- Fält, E., Wallby, T., Sarkadi, A., Salari, R., & Fabian, H. (2018). Agreement between mothers', fathers', and teachers' ratings of behavioural and emotional problems in 3–5-year-old children. *PLOS ONE*, 13(11), Article e0206752. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0206752
- Gadermann, A., Guhn, M., & Zumbo, B. (2019). Estimating ordinal reliability for Likert-type and ordinal item response data: A conceptual, empirical, and practical guide. *Practical Assessment, Research, and Evaluation*, 17(1), Article 3. https://doi.org/10.7275/n560-j767
- Galera, C., Orri, M., Vergunst, F., Melchior, M., Van der Waerden, J., Bouvard, M. P., Collet, O., Boivin, M., Tremblay, R. E., & Côté, S. M. (2021). Developmental profiles of childhood attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder and irritability: Association with adolescent mental health, functional impairment, and suicidal outcomes. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied Disciplines*, 62, 232–243. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.13270
- Galesic, M., & Bosnjak, M. (2009). Effects of questionnaire length on participation and indicators of response quality in a web survey. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 73(2), 349–360. https://doi. org/10.1093/poq/nfp031
- Goodman, R. (1997). The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: A research note. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 38(5), 581–586. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.1997. tb01545.x
- Gridley, N., Blower, S., Dunn, A., Bywater, T., & Bryant, M. (2019). Psychometric properties of child (0–5 years) outcome measures as used in randomized controlled trials of parent programs: A systematic review. Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review, 22(3), 388–405. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10567-019-00277-1
- Hoffmann, M. D., Lang, J. J., Guerrero, M. D., Cameron, J. D., Goldfield, G. S., Orpana, H. M., & de Groh, M. (2020). Evaluating the psychometric properties of the parent-rated Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire in a nationally representative sample of Canadian children and adolescents

- aged 6 to 17 years. *Health Reports*, *31*(8), 13–20. https://doi. org/10.25318/82-003-x202000800002-eng
- Kersten, P., Vandal, A. C., Elder, H., & McPherson, K. M. (2018). Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: Internal validity and reliability for New Zealand preschoolers. *BMJ Open*, 8(4), Article e021551. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-021551
- Kieling, C., Baker-Henningham, H., Belfer, M., Conti, G., Ertem, I., Omigbodun, O., Rohde, L. A., Srinath, S., Ulkuer, N., & Rahman, A. (2011). Child and adolescent mental health worldwide: Evidence for action. *The Lancet*, 378(9801), 1515–1525. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60827-1
- Koo, T. K., & Li, M. Y. (2016). A guideline of selecting and reporting intraclass correlation coefficients for reliability research. *Journal of Chiropractic Medicine*, 15(2), 155–163. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jcm.2016.02.012
- Kristensen, S., Henriksen, T. B., & Bilenberg, N. (2010). The Child Behavior Checklist for Ages 1.5–5 (CBCL/1½–5): Assessment and analysis of parent- and caregiver-reported problems in a population-based sample of Danish preschool children. *Nordic Journal of Psychiatry*, 64(3), 203–209. https://doi.org/10.3109 /08039480903456595
- Mieloo, C., Raat, H., van Oort, F., Bevaart, F., Vogel, I., Donker, M., & Jansen, W. (2012). Validity and reliability of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire in 5–6 year olds: Differences by gender or by parental education? *PLOS ONE*, 7(5), Article e36805. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0036805
- Muthén, L., & Muthén, B. O. (1998). Mplus user's guide (7th ed.).
  Nakamura, B., Ebesutani, C., Bernstein, A., & Chorpita, B. (2009).
  A psychometric analysis of the Child Behavior Checklist DSM-Oriented Scales. Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment, 31, 178–189. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10862-008-9119-8
- Nunnally, J. C., & Bernstein, I. H. (1993). The assessment of reliability. In J. C. Nunnally & I. H. Bernstein (Eds.), *Psychometric theory* (3rd ed., pp. 248–292). McGraw-Hill Professional.
- Office of the Surgeon General, Center for Mental Health Services, & National Institute of Mental Health. (2001). Culture counts: The influence of culture and society on mental health. In Mental health: Culture, race, and ethnicity: A supplement to mental health: A report of the Surgeon General. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK44249/
- Offord, D. R., Boyle, M. H., & Racine, Y. (1989). Ontario Child Health Study: Correlates of disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 28(6), 856–860. https://doi.org/10.1097/00004583-198911000-00008
- Orri, M., Boivin, M., Chen, C., Ahun, M. N., Geoffroy, M.-C., Ouellet-Morin, I., Tremblay, R. E., & Côté, S. M. (2021). Cohort Profile: Quebec Longitudinal Study of Child Development (QLSCD). Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology, 56, 883–894. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-020-01972-z
- Orri, M., Galera, C., Turecki, G., Forte, A., Renaud, J., Boivin, M., Tremblay, R. E., Côté, S. M., & Geoffroy, M.-C. (2018). Association of childhood irritability and depressive/anxious mood profiles with adolescent suicidal ideation and attempts. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 75(5), 465–473. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.0174
- Panchal, N., Kamal, R., Cox, C., Garfield, R., & Chidambaram, P. (2021, May 26). Mental health and substance use considerations among children during the COVID-19 pandemic. KFF.

- https://www.kff.org/coronavirus-covid-19/issue-brief/mental-health-and-substance-use-considerations-among-children-during-the-covid-19-pandemic/
- Pontoppidan, M., Niss, N. K., Pejtersen, J. H., Julian, M. M., & Væver, M. S. (2017). Parent report measures of infant and toddler social-emotional development: A systematic review. Family Practice, 34(2), 127–137. https://doi.org/10.1093/fam-pra/cmx003
- R Core Team. (2014). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. http://www.R-project.org
- Rouquette, A., Côté, S. M., Pryor, L. E., Carbonneau, R., Vitaro, F., & Tremblay, R. E. (2014). Cohort profile: The Quebec Longitudinal Study of Kindergarten Children (QLSKC). *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 43(1), 23–33. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dys177
- Rutter, M. (1967). A Children's Behaviour Questionnaire for completion by teachers: Preliminary findings. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 8(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.1967.tb02175.x
- Schumacker, R. E., & Lomax, R. G. (2004). A beginner's guide to structural equation modeling. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Shojaei, T., Wazana, A., Pitrou, I., & Kovess, V. (2009). The strengths and difficulties questionnaire: Validation study in French school-aged children and cross-cultural comparisons. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology, 44(9), 740–747. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-008-0489-8
- Shrout, P. E., & Fleiss, J. L. (1979). Intraclass correlations: Uses in assessing rater reliability. *Psychological Bulletin*, 86(2), 420–428. https://doi.org/10.1037//0033-2909.86.2.420
- Stone, L. L., Otten, R., Engels, R. C. M. E., Vermulst, A. A., & Janssens, J. M. A. M. (2010). Psychometric properties of the parent and teacher versions of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire for 4- to 12-year-olds: A review. Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review, 13(3), 254–274. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10567-010-0071-2
- Streiner, D. L. (2003). Starting at the beginning: An introduction to coefficient alpha and internal consistency. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 80(1), 99–103. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327752JPA8001\_18
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2012). *Using multivariate statistics* (6th ed.). Pearson.
- Theunissen, M. H. C., Vogels, A. G. C., de Wolff, M. S., & Reijneveld, S. A. (2013). Characteristics of the strengths and difficulties questionnaire in preschool children. *Pediatrics*, 131(2), e446–e454. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2012-0089
- Tremblay, R. E., Pihl, R. O., Vitaro, F., & Dobkin, P. L. (1994).
  Predicting early onset of male antisocial behavior from preschool behavior. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 51(9), 732–739. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.1994.03950090064009
- Woerner, W., Becker, A., & Rothenberger, A. (2004). Normative data and scale properties of the German parent SDQ. European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 13(2), ii3–ii10. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00787-004-2002-6
- Yu, C., & Muthén, B. O. (2002). Evaluation of model fit indices for latent variable models with categorical and continuous outcomes (Tech. Rep.). UCLA Graduate School of Education and Information Studies.
- Zumbo, B., Gadermann, A., & Zeisser, C. (2007). Ordinal versions of coefficients alpha and theta for Likert rating scales. *Journal* of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 6(1), Article 4. https:// doi.org/10.22237/jmasm/1177992180